1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney	
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH(CSBN 163973)	
3	Chief, Criminal Division	
4	DAVID B. COUNTRYMAN (CSBN 226995) Assistant United States Attorney	
5		
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7303 Fax: (415) 436-6748 E-mail: david.countryman@usdoj.gov	
7		
8		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. C 07-06353 BZ
15	Plaintiff,	YOUNT CARP MANA CONTINUE
16	v. )	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT
17	\$75,511 IN UNITED STATES	Date: September 8, 2008
18	CURRENCY,	Time: 4:00 pm. Place: Honorable Bernard Zimmerman, 15 <sup>th</sup>
19	Defendant. )	Floor
20		
21	Pursuant to FRCP 26(f) and Local Rule 16-9, Plaintiff, United States of America and	
22	claimant Manuel Cabrerra (hereinafter "Claimant"), respectfully submit this Joint Case	
23	Management Statement.	
24	1. Jurisdiction and Service	
25	Plaintiff contends that this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Title 28, United States	
26	Code, Sections 1345 and 1355, Title 21, United States Code, Section 881. Claimant denies this	
27	contention.	
28	The Parties agree that all persons known to have an interest in the defendant property	
	have been served. Claimant has filed a claim and answer.	
	II	

#### 2. Facts

This is a forfeiture case. The government commenced this action with the filing of a civil forfeiture complaint on December 14, 2007. The defendant currency was seized by the Pleasanton Police Department from 38587 Kirk Terrace, Fremont, CA, following the execution of a search warrant. In its complaint, the government alleges that the defendant currency was furnished or intended to be furnished to another person in exchange for a controlled substance, constitutes proceeds derived from such an exchange, and was used or intended to be used to facilitate a drug trafficking offense, and it is thus forfeitable under Title 21, United States Code, Section 881(a)(6). Claimant denies that the defendant currency is subject to forfeiture.

# 3. Legal Issues

The parties agree that the principal factual and legal issues in dispute are: (1) whether Claimant can establish that he is an innocent owner of the defendant currency; (2) whether the government can establish by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant currency is forfeitable; (3) whether the federal court may exercise jurisdiction over the Defendant \$75,511; (4) the reliability and validity of the search warrant for 38587 Kirk Terrace, Fremont California, executed on March 1, 2007; and (5) the legality of the seizure of the \$75,511 from 38587 Kirk Terrace, Fremont California.

# 4. Motions

The government intends to file a motion for summary judgment following the close of discovery.

# 5. Amendment of Pleadings

At this time, the parties do not anticipate filing any amended pleadings.

#### 6. Disclosures

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

This is an *in rem* forfeiture case and is exempt from initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 (a)(1)(B)(ii).

## 7. Discovery

The government has served, and claimant has responded to, the government's first set of interrogatories...

#### **Class Action**

This is not a class action.

## 9. Related Case

The parties are not aware of any related cases at this time.

#### 10. Relief/Damages

The government seeks a judgment of forfeiture of the defendant property. Claimant seeks return of the defendant property. This is not a damages case.

#### 11. Settlement and ADR

The parties agree that settlement in this case is likely, and ADR would be of more use at a later stage.

# 12. Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes

The parties consent to a Magistrate Judge in this action.

# 134. Narrowing of Issues

At this juncture, it is premature to narrow the issues which the parties anticipate will be narrowed through the discovery process.

#### 14. Scheduling

The parties suggest another case management conference would be appropriate in four to six months rather than scheduling discovery, pretrial and trial.

15. Trial

The parties have not demanded a jury trial.

Dated: September 2, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/</u>

AUSTIN M. THOMPSON Attorney for Claimant MANUEL CABRERRA

Dated: September 2, 2008 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney

DAVID B. COUNTRYMAN

DAVID B. COUNTRYMAN
Assistant United States Attorney